Long-range behavior and frequency dependence of exchange-correlation kernels in solids
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We define an effective exchange-correlation kernel $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$ which allows us to obtain correct absorption and energy loss spectra starting from an electronic structure obtained within some given approximation. We consider, in particular, the Kohn Sham electronic structure calculated in the local-density approximation and that obtained from a quasiparticle calculation. We show that in both cases the main feature able to account for the experimental spectra is a sizable, complex, and frequency- and material-dependent long-range contribution to $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$. We write, in terms of this contribution, an expression for the macroscopic dielectric function which is a generalization of the well-known contact-exciton approximation. Accurate absorption (for silicon and diamond) and electron energy loss (for silicon) spectra are obtained.
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The description of many electronic spectra, such as, e.g., optical absorption, requires the inclusion of many-body and in particular excitonic (electron-hole interaction) effects. Only recently and for relatively simple systems have these effects been included in ab initio calculations in the framework of many-body theory by the technically cumbersome solution of the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE). A good candidate for a computationally simpler approach is the in principle exact time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT), where the many-body effects are taken into account in the frequency-dependent exchange-correlation (xc) potential $v_{xc}$ and its density functional derivative, the xc kernel $f_{xc}$, accounting for xc effects in the linear response. However, for real systems neither $v_{xc}$ nor $f_{xc}$ are known. Approximations are often derived from model systems like the homogeneous electron gas, a prominent example being the local-density approximation (LDA) for $v_{xc}$ (Ref. 5) and the adiabatic local-density approximation (ALDA) for $f_{xc}$, $f_{xc}^{\text{ALDA}}$ (Ref. 6). Concerning the optical properties of solids, these approximations fail even qualitatively. They are not able to reproduce neither self-energy nor excitonic effects, which can lead to wrong transition energies and to a lack of important spectral features.

It has been shown that a crucial ingredient of $f_{xc}$ in infinite systems is a long-range (LR) $1/|r−r'|$ tail which is absent in the xc kernel of the homogeneous electron gas. It is due to both self-energy contributions and to excitonic effects. The two contributions were predicted with positive and negative signs, respectively. It is not clear to which extent the two terms cancel or which one is possibly dominating in which energy region. Some of us have shown that an $f_{xc}$ of the form $f_{xc} = α|v_{xc}|$, where $α$ is simply a material- and screening-dependent constant, describes well continuum exciton effects in the optical spectrum of simple semiconductors when self-energy corrections are already included in the independent-quasiparticle polarizability, but that a constant $α$ is not sufficient to yield at the same time the loss spectra or bound excitons.

In order to improve this situation, one can proceed in two directions. First, one can go beyond the simplest approximation used in Ref. 9 either by including a frequency dependence in $α$ or a more complicated spatial variation in the xc kernel, or both. Second, one can make use of the freedom to change the starting point—i.e., the electronic structure which yields the independent-particle polarizability—and try to find out if a particular choice is the most suitable one in order to allow for a relatively simple associated kernel.

In this paper we address both questions. First, we define an effective xc kernel $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$, to be used in conjunction with a given starting electronic structure, by requiring that it must yield the correct macroscopic dielectric function. As starting points, we consider the cases of the DFT-LDA Kohn-Sham electronic structure and of a quasiparticle electronic structure as obtained in the GW approximation. We write the LR component of $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$ in terms of the starting independent-(quasi)particle polarizability and of the full result including self-energy corrections and the electron-hole ($e-h$) interaction. We then calculate approximatively this LR component and show that it is non-negligible, complex, material dependent, and with a sizable frequency dependence that is displayed here for the first time in real materials. We discuss which of these features are important and in which context. Finally, we derive an exact expression for the macroscopic dielectric function in a form that closely resembles the contact-exciton approximation, showing that the effective contact $e-h$ interaction is proportional to the LR component of $f_{xc}$. We apply this expression to the case of bulk silicon and diamond and demonstrate that the dynamical LR contribution alone is sufficient to obtain excellent agreement with Bethe-Salpeter calculations and with experiment in the case of both optical absorption and energy loss spectra.

The charge density induced by a time-dependent pertur-
bation is described by the irreducible polarizability $P(r,r';\omega)$. Within TDDFT, its time Fourier transform $P(r,r';\omega)$ is given by

$$P(r,r';\omega) = \chi(0)(r,r';\omega) + \int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 \chi(0)(r,r_1;\omega) \times f_{xc}(r_1,r_2;\omega) P(r_2,r';\omega),$$

(1)

where $\chi(0)$ is the independent-particle response function and $f_{xc}$ is the xc kernel. Here $\chi(0)$ is assumed to be the exact Kohn-Sham polarizability, based on the band structure calculated using the exact xc potential $v_{xc}$. The latter being unknown, some approximation to it must be used. As a consequence, the $f_{xc}$ yielding the exact $P$ in Eq. (1) is then not the true xc kernel, defined as the density functional derivative of the exact xc potential. Nevertheless, Eq. (1) can be used to define a suitable $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$: for any approximate $\chi_{\text{appr}}$, there is an $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$ which allows Eq. (1) to yield the exact polarizability $P$,

$$P = \chi_{\text{appr}} + \chi_{\text{appr}} f_{xc}^{\text{eff}} P.$$

(2)

The exact $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$—i.e., the functional derivative of the xc potential—is recovered only when $\chi_{\text{appr}}$ coincides with the exact Kohn-Sham polarizability. Hence, if we use Kohn-Sham DFT-LDA eigenvalues to calculate an approximate $\chi_{\text{LDA}}$, we have to introduce an effective $f_{xc}^{\text{FLDA}}$ (the super-script means “from LDA”; this kernel should not be confused with $f_{xc}^{\text{FLDA}}$) to obtain the exact polarizability $P$ from Eq. (2). Analogously, if we start from an independent-quasiparticle polarizability $\chi_{\text{QP}} = -iG^2G$ and calculate the Green’s function $G$ using quasiparticle (for example, GW) energies, we can define another effective xc kernel $f_{xc}^{\text{QF}}$ (a many-body analog of $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$) through

$$P = \chi_{\text{QP}}^{(0)} + \chi_{\text{QP}}^{(0)} f_{xc}^{\text{QF}} P.$$

(3)

In fact the approach of Ref. 9, based on the GW band structure, deals with $f_{xc}^{\text{QF}}$.

Equation (2) can be solved for $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$, giving

$$f_{xc}^{\text{eff}} = \chi_{\text{appr}}^{(0)-1} - P^{-1},$$

(4)

which is analogous to a well-known equation that holds for the exact $f_{xc}$.

Using the relation $\varepsilon = 1 - u P$ ($v$ is the coulombian potential) and Eq. (4), we obtain $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$ in terms of the full dielectric function $\varepsilon$ and of $\varepsilon_{\text{appr}} = 1 - u \chi_{\text{appr}}^{(0)}$ :

$$f_{xc}^{\text{eff}} = [(\varepsilon - 1)^{-1} - (\varepsilon_{\text{appr}}^{(0)})^{-1}] v.$$

(5)

From Eq. (5) one can in principle calculate the effective kernel. One has to determine $\varepsilon_{\text{appr}}$ and to know a very good approximation for $\varepsilon$—for example, the result of a Bethe-Salpeter calculation. However, since Eq. (5) is a matrix equation involving microscopic quantities, one would need much more information from the Bethe-Salpeter equation than the sole macroscopic spectra that are usually calculated. Rather, we concentrate here on the LR component of $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$ in a solid, namely the head of its spatial Fourier transform $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}(q,\omega)_{00}$, where the subscript 00 stands for vanishing reciprocal lattice vectors $G = G' = 0$ and where the vector $q$ in the first Brilliouin zone tends to zero. As we will show in the following, this allows us to describe all the essential physics using only quantities which have already been calculated in the past.

Keeping in mind that in the range of an optical spectrum of a semiconductor the screening is much larger than 1, we neglect 1 with respect to $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{appr}}$ in Eq. (5). This yields

$$f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}(q,\omega)_{00} = [\varepsilon(q,\omega)^{-1} - \varepsilon_{\text{appr}}^{(0)}(q,\omega)^{-1}] v(q).$$

(6)

Both inverse macroscopic dielectric functions correctly account for local field effects. The neglected terms, estimated without local field effects, result in being hardly visible in the scale of our figures.

Calculations of the dielectric functions have been carried out for silicon and diamond as in Ref. 2. Figure 1 shows $q^2 f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}(q,\omega)$ for $q \rightarrow 0$. Since this quantity accounts for the $e-h$ interaction alone, while the $f_{xc}^{\text{FLDA}}$ kernel accounts also for self-energy effects, it has a simpler physical meaning. Its real part is negative in the optical range, describing an attractive $e-h$ interaction. It is, however, far from being constant as a function of $\omega$, since it changes by a factor of 3 going through the range of strong optical absorption. Here its average value is about $-0.2$ in Si and $-0.6$ for diamond, consistent with the suggestion of Refs. 9 and 10. The imaginary
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FIG. 1. Long-range component of the many-body exchange-correlation kernel $f_{xc}^{\text{QF}}$. (a) Si and (b) diamond. The inset in (a) shows the real part of the LR kernel of Si close to the plasma frequency.
Differently from the case of $f_{xc}$ here is related, through Eq. (6), to the variation (due to $e$-$h$ interaction and self-energy effects) of the longitudinal $e$-$h$ excitations entering the inverse dielectric functions. Being differences of causal inverse polarizabilities, they obey the Kramers-Kronig relation. This is also clearly visible in Figs. 1 and 2, where the imaginary parts look like plus or minus an absorptive response function, while the real parts are similar to the dispersive counterparts.

Since it has been shown in Ref. 10 that an average static $\alpha$ can yield good absorption spectra for the materials considered here, but the same $\alpha$ cannot describe the plasmon, it is particularly interesting to extend the range of frequencies to higher energies. However, the plasmon arises at frequencies where the macroscopic dielectric function is close to zero, and we have to make a different expansion than above: we assume that all the relevant dielectric functions are smaller than unity. This is true between 16 and 18 eV in Si.\(^1\)\(^5\) Hence we expand Eq. (5) to first order in the dielectric functions, which yields results similar to Eq. (6), but with the dielectric functions instead of their inverse. The results for the two kernels in Si are shown in the insets of Figs. 1(a) and 2(a). Their real parts are both shifted towards lower values, which makes now both $f_{xc}^{\text{FLDA}}$ become completely negative, in contrast to the optical range. The imaginary parts of $q^2 f_{xc}^{\text{FLDA}}$ and $q^2 f_{xc}^{\text{FQP}}$ are both positive, of the order of 0.5.

Interestingly, the LR component of the two kernels is sufficient in order to obtain very good spectra: if we consider the matrix $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}$ to be only $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}(q, \omega) \approx g(\omega)/q^2$ if $G=G'=0$, and $f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}(q, \omega) \approx 0$ otherwise, the equations

$$\epsilon_M = 1 - \frac{4\pi}{q^2} P_{00},$$

$$\bar{P} = \chi^{(0)}_{\text{appr}} + \chi^{(0)}_{\text{appr}}(\bar{q} + f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}) \bar{P},$$

where $\bar{q}$ is the Coulomb potential with its $G=0$ element set to zero,\(^1\)\(^6\) yield

$$\epsilon_M(\omega) = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_M^{\text{appr}}(\omega) - 1}{1 + g(\omega)[\epsilon_M^{\text{appr}}(\omega) - 1]},$$

where $g(\omega) = \lim_{q \to 0} q^2 f_{xc}^{\text{eff}}(q, \omega)/4\pi$ and $\epsilon_M^{\text{appr}}(\omega)$ is the macroscopic dielectric function relative to the approximation scheme used in Eq. (4). Note that through $\bar{q}$ we have fully included local-field effects everywhere.\(^1\)\(^7\) One can see the close resemblance of Eq. (9) with the old contact-exciton approximation.\(^1\)\(^2\)\mbox{There}, the contact electron-hole interaction was described by a real constant, while here it is given by a complex and frequency-dependent quantity $g(\omega)$. The contact-exciton parameter is hence directly related to the coefficient of the LR divergence of the xc kernel.

The dielectric function of bulk silicon calculated according to Eq. (9) is plotted in Fig. 3. The small difference to the result obtained solving the Bethe-Salpeter equation is due to the approximations made in deriving the LR components of the kernels and to the neglect of the microscopic components. The similarity of these calculations to the BSE result confirms that both approximations are very good. Similar good results, not shown here, are obtained for diamond. This implies that the kernels displayed in the figures are perfectly representative for the quantities one is searching for, and it is most instructive to discuss the effects of their features on the resulting spectra. It turns out that starting from a quasiparticle electronic structure allows one to use a simpler approximation to $f_{xc}$ than starting from LDA; in the former case, indeed, a good spectrum is obtained by neglecting the imaginary part of $f_{xc}^{\text{FQP}}$ and by approximating the real part as a
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**FIG. 2.** Long-range component of the effective xc kernel $f_{xc}^{\text{FLDA}}$ (a) Si and (b) diamond. The inset in (a) shows the real part of the LR kernel of Si close to the plasma frequency.
suitable constant, as has been done in Ref. 9. Neither of the two approximations leads to satisfactory spectra when starting from the LDA. In this context it is also interesting to note that a recently published so-called “EXX TDDFT” calculation\textsuperscript{18} finds good results for the absorption spectrum of silicon using again a static, long-range kernel since the GW spectrum is strongly shifted towards higher energies, and the effect of the kernel \( f_{xc} \) is neglected.

In conclusion, we have derived expressions for effective xc kernels \( f_{xc} \), in particular for the case that one starts with an LDA electronic structure, \( f_{xc}^{LDA} \), and for the case of a quasiparticle calculation, \( f_{xc}^{QP} \). Both kernels are complex and have a sizable long-range component with an important \( \omega \) dependence. We have established a link to the old contact-exciton approach with an effective, complex, and frequency-dependent, on-site electron-hole interaction. This relation allows for a quick calculation of the spectra starting from the independent-(quasi)particle ones. Calculations carried out for bulk silicon and diamond yield very good agreement with experimental absorption and energy loss results. This work has allowed us to point out which are the important parameters of the respective kernels and their effect on the spectra. Therefore, it opens the way for a well directed search of effective exchange-correlation kernels and efficient calculations of electronic spectra.
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17 It can be shown that Eq. (9) is valid also if \( e_{\text{app}}^{\text{per}} \) includes the microscopic components of \( f_{\text{xc}} \) — namely, those with \( \mathbf{G} \) and/or \( \mathbf{G'} \) different from zero.
21 This is hence an alternative to the work in Ref. 9, where Eq. (9) suggests a spatially complex, but always static, \( f_{\text{xc}} \).